### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY									
To:					PCT PCT				
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
						(PCT Rule 43his.1)			
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)				
Analiaa	etle en e	igent's file referen							
	389	igeni s ille referen	ce		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
					See paragraph 2 below				
International application No.  PCT/JP2004/005707  International filing date 21.04.2004					(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.04.2003			
Internat	ional Pa	ntent Classification	n (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC				
Applica									
MAT	SUS	HITA ELE	CTRIC I	NDUSTRIAL C	O. LTD.				
1.	Thin			in a set of the state of					
1.		opunon comains ir	idicanons reiai	ing to the following items	s:				
		Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion					
		Box No. II	Priority						
		ive step and industrial applicability							
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention					
		Box No. V		atement under Rule 43bis.; citations and explanation		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement			
	$\mathbb{H}$	Box No. VI	Certain docu						
	$\vdash$	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication				
	لـا	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	nal application				
2.	FUR7	THER ACTION				·			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/ISA	V220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.									
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP					Authorized officer				
Faccimile No.					Telephone No.				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005707

Box No. 1		Basis of this opinion
l.	With filed,	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
	[	a sequence listing
	[	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
	[	in written format
	[	in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
	[	contained in the international application as filed.
	[	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005707

Во		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4-7, 9-12	YES				
		Claims	1-3, 8	NO				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	5, 6, 9	YES				
		Claims	1-4, 7-8, 10-12	NO				
	Industrial applicab	ility (IA) Claims	1-12	YES				
		Claims		NO				

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2002-280852 A (Sharp Corp.), 27 September 2002 & US 2002/0131533 A1

Document 2: JP 2000-209118 A (Sharp Corp.), 28 July 2000

Document 3: JP 2001-186083 A (Toshiba Corp.), 06 July 2001

Document 4: JP 2002-217763 A (Sony Corp.), 02 August 2002

#### Claims 1-3, 8

Because the subject matter of claims 1-3 and 8 is described in document 1 (paragraphs 0103-0130) cited in the ISR, it does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

#### Claim 4

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2 cited in the ISR (paragraphs 0040-0045). Determining the size of the input signal by the average value taught in document 2 would be easy for a party skilled in the art.

#### Claim 7

The subject matter of claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 3 (paragraphs 0011-0012) cited in the ISR.

#### Claims 10-12

The subject matter of claims 10-12 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 4 (paragraphs 0025-0027) cited in the ISR.

#### Claims 5, 6, 9

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the subject matter of claims 5, 6 and 9; nor would it be obvious to a party skilled in the art.